



BACH: Path-oriented Reachability Checker of Linear Hybrid Automata

Xuandong Li

Department of Computer Science and Technology, Nanjing University, P.R.China









- Preliminary Knowledge
- Path-oriented Reachability Checking
- IIS-Based Bounded Checking
- Shallow Semantic Based Compositional Checking
- Unbounded Proof Derivation
- Conclusion



Outline



Preliminary Knowledge

- Path-oriented Reachability Checking
- IIS-Based Bounded Checking
- Shallow Semantic Based Compositional Checking
- Unbounded Proof Derivation
- Conclusion

Hybrid System

- Systems containing both discrete and continuous components
- Practical Examples:
 - Embedded System Controller
 - VLSI circuits
 - System Biology
- Safety Critical Area
- Formal Verification
 - Formal Model : Hybrid Automata

Hybrid Automata

- Widely studied formal models for hybrid systems $H = (X, \Sigma, V, E, V^0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$
- They consist of
 - A finite state transition system
 - Differential equations in each location

Example

Linear Hybrid Automata

Reachability Analysis

Approach

- Over-approximation
- Geometric Computation

Performance

- Undecidable
- Imprecise
- Low dimension

Reachability Analysis

- Bounded Model Checking
 - Search for a potential behavior within k step
 - Usually solved by SMT techniques
 - SMT: satisfiability modulo theories
 - Need to encode all the potential bounded behavior firstly
 - Medium bound —> Large SMT problem

Control The Complexity!

Outline

Preliminary Knowledge

- Path-oriented Reachability Checking
- IIS-Based Bounded Checking
- Shallow Semantic Based Compositional Checking
- Unbounded Proof Derivation
- Conclusion

Reachability Analysis

Path-oriented Based Bounded Model Checking

- Check the reachability of one abstract path using Linear Programming (LP)
- Enumerate all the candidate paths in bound by Depth First Search (DFS)

Path, Behavior, Encoding

DFS-Based Bounded Model Checking

Eager-DFS-BMC

- check each path ρ in the given bound
- If ρ is infeasible, backtrack to the last location

• BACH: *B*ounded re*A*chability *CH*ecker

• <u>http://seg.nju.edu.cn/BACH/</u>

Outline

- Preliminary Knowledge
- Path-oriented Reachability Checking
- IIS-Based Bounded Checking
- Shallow Semantic Based Compositional Checking
- Unbounded Proof Derivation
- Conclusion

Eager - DFS - BMC

- Check each path ρ in the given bound
- Lots of redundant work

Example

• Target v_5 $v_0 \rightarrow v_1$ $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2$ $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3$

0 0 0

Most of the time are spent in LP solving

Lazy DFS + LP

• Only check the path ρ when it reaches the target

Where to backtrack?

IIS

- Using IIS to locate infeasible path segment core to accelerate the backtracking
- An irreducible infeasible set (IIS) of an infeasible linear constraint set is an unsatisfiable set of constraints that becomes satisfiable if any constraint is removed

{A,B,C} is an IIS

- *Recall:* We use an LP based approach to check the feasibility of a path ρ
- IIS technique can be used to locate the minimal inconsistent set
- Such inconsistent set can be mapped back to an path segment. All the paths containing such path segments are not feasible for sure.

Example

Example

$$v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$$

- $v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$ is the IIS path segme
- Backtrack to v_1
- Once DFS found a new path containing $v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$ it will backtrack to v_1 directly without call LP solver

Bound 100, Lazy DFS+IIS ->25 paths only call LP solver 2 times

Problem:

These paths containing the IIS are not feasible for sure. **Can we don't waste time in enumerating such paths?**

The transition relation graph can be encoded as propositional formulas

- Encode the bounded graph structure of an LHA into a propositional formula set
- Find a truth assignment using a SAT solver
 - SAT: Boolean satisfiability problem
- Decode the truth assignment to get a path in the graph

SAT Encoding of the Bounded Graph

Consist of four clauses

$$\begin{split} NEXT &:= \bigwedge_{q \in V} (loc = q \rightarrow \bigvee_{(q,q') \in N} loc' = q') \\ EXCLUDE &:= \bigwedge_{q \in V} (loc = q \rightarrow \bigwedge_{q' \in V \land q' \neq q} loc \neq q') \\ INIT &:= (loc = v_I) \land EXCLUDE \end{split}$$

 $TARGET := (loc = v_T)$

The bounded graph formula set with bound k $BG^{k} := INIT^{0} \land \bigwedge_{0 \le i \le k-1} NEXT^{i} \land \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le k} EXCLUDE^{i} \land (\bigvee_{0 \le i \le k} TARGET^{i})$

- The superscript of the name of variables represents the order of the nodes in the path
- Suppose we get a truth valuation: v_0^0, v_1^1, v_5^2 from the SAT encoding, the corresponding path in the graph is $\langle v_0 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_0]{} \langle v_1 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_5]{} \langle v_5 \rangle$

Include a *IIS* clause to prevent the SAT from enumerating paths which contain an infeasible path segment.

$$IIS := \bigwedge_{\rho' \in IIS Path} IIS^{k}(\rho')$$

 $BG^k := BG^k \wedge HS$

Example

The previous checked path

$$\rho = \langle v_0 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_0]{} \langle v_1 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_1]{} \langle v_2 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_2]{} \langle v_3 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_3]{} \langle v_4 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_4]{} \langle v_1 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_5]{} \langle v_5 \rangle$$

The infeasible path segment

$$\rho' = \langle v_3 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_3]{} \langle v_4 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_4]{} \langle v_1 \rangle \xrightarrow[e_5]{} \langle v_5 \rangle$$

The *IIS* clause

$$IIS^{k}(\rho') := \bigwedge_{0 \le i \le k-leng+1} \left(v_3^{i} \land v_4^{i+1} \land v_1^{i+2} \to \neg v_5^{i+3} \right)$$

Bound 100, V₅ DFS+IIS ->25 paths (call LP 2 times)

SAT+IIS -> 2 paths

Performance

Performance Data On The Highway System With 500 Vehicles System Size 502 locations, 500 variables

Tech.	BACH-SAT		BAC	CH-DFS	Ma	thSAT	Z3	
Bound	Time	Memory	Time	Memory	Time	Memory	Time	Memory
3	53.2s	<1000m	12.3s	<600m	OOM	>4096m	542.1s	2967m
100	62.2s	<2500m	OOT	⊲ 4096m	N/A	N/A	OOM	>4096m
200	74.2s	> <4096m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Large Scale System 500 locations, 500 variables
- Classical SMT-style BMC, OOM (Out of Memory) with bound 3
- BACH:
 - Path-oriented, complexity well controlled
 - With the help of IIS, 200 steps in only 74 seconds!

Scalable Highway System

Outline

- Preliminary Knowledge
- Path-oriented Reachability Checking
- IIS-Based Bounded Checking
- Shallow Semantic Based Compositional Checking
- Unbounded Proof Derivation
- Conclusion

Compositional LHA System

Compositional LHA Systems

Current Status

Shallow Synchronization Semantic

- Find and verify all the path sets in the given bound limit
- Reduce the number of potential path sets which needs to be verified.
- Share label sequence guided DFS

Share Label Sequence Guided DFS

Performance

Outline

- Preliminary Knowledge
- Path-oriented Reachability Checking
- IIS-Based Bounded Checking
- Shallow Semantic Based Compositional Checking
- Unbounded Proof Derivation
- Conclusion

Previous Example

Water-Level Monitor System

- Is v_5 reachable within 10 steps?
- Path: $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$
- IIS: $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$
- Path: $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$
- IIS: $v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$

Potential path can not contain $v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$ $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_5$

No more potential paths, not reachable!

Goal

Prove whether there exists a path which can reach the target location without touching certain path segments

Solution

- LTL model checking
 - > LTL: linear temporal logic

automatic and efficient

• We propose to model the graph structure of an LHA with a finite-state transition system (TS)

(A) Graph structure of Water-Level Monitor System

(B) TS Model for Water-Level Monitor System

Suppose there is an IIS path segment:

$$\rho' = v_i \to v_{i+1} \to \dots \to v_j$$
$$p_{v_i} p_{v_{i+1}} \dots p_{v_j}$$

The LTL formula which can represent ρ' :

$$IIS_{\rho'} = p_{v_i} \& X \ p_{v_{i+1}} \& ... \& \underbrace{X \ X \ ... X}_{j-i} p_{v_j}$$

• A path which does not contain ρ' : $G(\neg IIS_{\rho'})$

- The target location q_{bad} is finally reached: $v_i v_{i+1} \dots q_{bad}$ $p_{v_i} p_{v_{i+1}} \dots p_{q_{bad}}$ $F p_{q_{bad}}$
- The LTL formula which is true for path reaching the target without containing any IIS path segment $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n\} : (G(\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \neg IIS_{\rho_i})) \land F p_{q_{bad}}$
- As our target is to prove the nonexistence of such a path, the final LTL specification :

$$\neg ((G(\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} \neg IIS_{\rho_i})) \land F \ p_{q_{bad}})$$

Workflow of Unbounded Proof Derivation

Experiment

			BACH (NuSMV)			BACH (IC3)		SpaceEx (PHA.)		SpaceEx (Supp.)	
System	#locs	#vars	#IIS	Time (s)	Mem. (MB)	Time (s)	Mem. (MB)	Time (s)	Mem. (MB)	Time (s)	Mem. (MB)
water	6	2	2	0.94_{U}	<1	0.87_{U}	30.4	0.07 _U	<1	0.22_{U}	7.9
tcs	5	3	4	0.97 _U	<1	0.98_{U}	16.4	T.O.	-	0.36_{U}	9.4
sample	8	2	9	0.96_{B}	26.8	0.41_{B}	21.2	0.93 _U	<1	EXC	•
train	8	2	2	1.02 _U	<1	0.3 _U	<1	0.62_{U}	<1	1.24_{U}	24.8
motorcade_5	7	5	4	0.05_{U}	<1	0.4_{U}	<1	4.940	16	T.O.	-
motorcade_10	12	10	9	$0.12_{\rm U}$	<1	0.6_U	16.9	T.O.	•	T.O.	•
motorcade_20	22	20	19	$0.53_{\rm U}$	60.8	1.1_{U}	25.4	T.O.	· [T.O.	
motorcade_100	102	100	99	6.66 _U	163.9	15.7_{U}	389	T.O.	•	T.O.	•
motorcade_200	202	200	199	$61.8_{\rm U}$	652.7	115.3_{U}	3299	T.O.	-	T.O.	-
								$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$		\smile	

Try the task of unbounded proof by the cost of BMC!

Outline

- Preliminary Knowledge
- Path-oriented Reachability Checking
- IIS-Based Bounded Checking
- Shallow Semantic Based Compositional Checking
- Unbounded Proof Derivation
- Conclusion

Framework

Current Achievement

Tool: BACH

- Graphical Editor, Model Checker, Eclipse Plugin, Web Application... more than 8 components and 20 versions
- More than 200 Globally Download, including researchers from UCB, CMU, UBC and engineers from industry.
- BMC Area Chair of ARCH Competition 2017, 2018

Publications

- Around 40 papers: IEEE TC, IEEE TPDS、ACM TCPS、 FMSD、STTT、RTSS、CAV、FMCAD、DSN、 ICCPS、DATE、VMCAI、FORTE and so on
- 11 Software Copyrights, 8 Chinese Patents

Selected Application: CPS

- Real-life CPS show high nondeterministic behavior
 - \rightarrow classical offline model checking does not work
- Our solution:
 - Parametric hybrid system modeling, Online Concretization
 - Online periodical real-time hybrid systems model checking of time-bounded future!
- Implemented a special version BACHoL for CPS online verification
- Deployed on National Engineering Research Center of
 - Rail Transportation Operation and Control System

Selected Application: IoT

- IFTTT-style event triggering IoT system is widely believe to be an important enabling building block of IoT
- Will an IoT app meet an user's expectations? Will there be any unsafe consequences?
- We propose a framework of Modeling, Verification and Fixing of Smart Home System as Real time hybrid system automatically
- BACH is the underlying checker
- Selected into Microsoft TechFest'15 for technology transfer

- By integrating SAT, LP and IIS, the **performance** of our tool outperforms the state-of-the-art SMT solvers significantly
- Use the byproduct of BMC, IIS, to derive an unbounded result (*Extra Benefit!*)
- On going work: **Code Verification**
 - Software code shares similar feature with hybrid system
 - Transition system with constraints, infinite state space...
- **Public available** from http://seg.nju.edu.cn/BACH/

Thanks Questions?